

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

				\ 1
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/602,939	06/24/2003	William K. Rediehs .	34059-3	1826
7590 09/20/2004			EXAMINER	
Woodard, Emhardt, Moriarty, McNett & Henry LLP			GUTMAN, HILARY L	
Bank One Cent	er/Tower	•		
111 Monument Circle, Suite 3700		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Indianapolis, IN 46204-5137			3612	

DATE MAILED: 09/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	_
	10/602,939	REDIEHS, WILLIAM K.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	_
	Hilary Gutman	3612	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeariod for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u>	September 2004.		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowated closed in accordance with the practice under a condition.			
Disposition of Claims	,		
4) Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 18-24 is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 24 June 2003 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a) accepted or b) objected to drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ijected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documen 2. Certified copies of the priority documen 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receiv nu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)	» 🗆	(DTO 440)	
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6/24/03. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/602,939 Page 2

Art Unit: 3612

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Claims 18-24 are hereby withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 9/3/04.
- 2. Applicant's election of Group A in the reply filed on 9/3/04 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-2, 4, 6, 8, 10-12, 15, and 17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrams in view of Felburn.

Abrams (2,810,602) discloses a vehicular trailer 10 for transporting cylindrical objects 12 comprising: a front deck portion 13 and a rear deck portion 14; a middle deck portion 17 disposed between and at a distance below the front deck portion and the rear deck portion; a first transitional wall portion 22 disposed at an angle between and connecting the front and middle

Art Unit: 3612

deck portions; and a second transitional wall portion 39 disposed at an angle between and connecting the rear and middle deck portions.

For claim 11, Abrams discloses discloses a vehicular trailer 10 for transporting cylindrical objects 12 comprising: a front deck portion 13 and rear deck portion 14; a middle deck portion 17 disposed between and at a distance below the front and rear deck portions; a first transitional wall portion 22 disposed at an angle between and connecting the front and middle deck portions; and a second transitional wall portion 39 disposed at an angle between and connecting the rear and middle deck portions.

With regard to claims 2 and 12, the first transitional wall portion is apparently disposed at an angle between 40-55 degrees (at about 45 degrees) between the front and middle deck portions and the second transitional wall portion is disposed at an angle between 40-55 degrees (at about 45 degrees) between the rear and middle deck portions.

With regard to claims 10 and 17, the front, rear and middle deck portions are substantially parallel.

Abrams lacks front and rear coil chocks mounted to the transitional walls.

Felburn teaches a coil chock 44 mounted to a first wall portion and movable between a first position and a second position; and another coil chock 44 mounted to a second wall portion and movable between a first position and a second position. The first coil chock is hingably mounted to the first wall portion and is movable between a first and second position. The second coil chock is hingably mounted to the second wall portion and is movable between a first and second position. The coil chocks both includes a cushioned portion.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided movable coil chocks as taught by Felburn upon the transitional walls of Abrams in order to better position and secure different sized cylindrical objects between the walls.

Abrams, as modified, and with regard to claims 8 and 15, discloses the at least one front coil chock contacting the first transitional wall portion when in the first position and the at least one rear coil chock contacting the second transitional wall portion when in the first position.

5. Claims 5, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrams, as modified, as applied to claims 4, 6, and 12 above and further in view of Good.

Abrams, as modified, lacks the first and second transitional wall portions being covered with a protective material and further lacks the cushioned portion of the coil chocks being made of hard rubber.

Good teaches applying hard rubber 26, 28, and 30 upon a cylindrical holding device or skid. The hard rubber provides both physical and chemical protection to prevent damage and corrosion of the coiled product transported on the skid.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have protective hard rubber material as taught by Good upon the wall portions and coil chocks of Abrams, as modified, in order to prevent damage from occurring between the trailer and the transported cylindrical load.

6. Claims 9 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Abrams, as modified, as applied to claims 1 and 11 above and further in view of Mimica.

Page 5

Abrams, as modified, lacks the trailer further comprising a strap retractably engaged with a strap tightening apparatus which is mounted to the middle deck portion.

Mimica teaches a strap 140 retractably engaged with a strap tightening apparatus 142 mounted upon a trailer deck portion for tying down a cylindrical load.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have provided a strap and strap tightening apparatus as taught by Mimica in order to better secure the cylindrical loads to the trailer.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hilary Gutman whose telephone number is 703-305-0496.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glenn Dayoan can be reached on 703-308-3102. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,939 Page 6

Art Unit: 3612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

9. Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Assistant Commissioner for Patents

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 872-9326, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 746-3515, (for informal or draft communications, please clearly label

"PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Hilary Gutman

September 13, 2004